PMRA Submission Number {.....} EPA MRID Number 48718011

Data Requirement: PMRA Data Code {.....} EPA DP Barcode 402518 OECD Data Point {.....} 48718011

EPA MRID

EPA Guideline 850.1400/850.1500

Test material: Dicamba acid **Purity:** 93.9%

Common name: Dicamba

Chemical name: IUPAC: 3,6-dichloro-o-anisic acid

CAS name: 3,6-dichloro-2-methoxybenzoic acid

CAS No.: 1918-00-9 Synonyms: BAS 183 H

Signature: Design MEssen Primary Reviewer: David A. McEwen Date: 12/05/12 Staff Scientist, CSS-Dynamac Corporation

Signature: Qu'S Myn Secondary Reviewer: Teri S. Myers

Date: 9/7/2016

Date: 11/2/2016

Environmental Scientist, CDM Smith Date: 01/25/13

Primary Reviewer: Elizabeth Donovan, Biologist

EPA/EFED/ERB 6

Secondary Reviewer(s): Amy Blankinship, Senior Scientist

EPA/EFED/ERB 6

Reference/Submission No.: {......

Company Code *{.....* [For PMRA] **Active Code** [For PMRA] {.....} **Use Site Category** [For PMRA] {.....}

EPA PC Code 029801

Date Evaluation Completed: 11-2-2016

CITATION: Minderhout, T, T.Z. Kendall, and S.P. Gallagher. 2012. Dicamba Acid: An Early Life-Stage Toxicity Test with the Sheepshead Minnow (Cyprinodon variegatus). Unpublished study performed by Wildlife International Ltd., Easton, MD. Laboratory Study No. 147A-278B. Study sponsored by BASF Corporation, Research Triangle Park, NC. Study initiated August 18, 2011 and completed January 11, 2012.

DISCLAIMER: This document provides guidance for EPA and PMRA reviewers on how to complete a data evaluation record after reviewing a scientific study concerning the toxicity of a pesticide to fish, early life cycle. It is not intended to prescribe conditions to any external party for conducting this study nor to establish absolute criteria regarding the assessment of whether the study is scientifically sound and whether the study satisfies any applicable data requirements. Reviewers are expected to review and to determine for each study, on a case-by-case basis, whether it is scientifically sound and provides sufficient information to satisfy applicable data requirements. Studies that fail to meet any of the conditions may be accepted, if appropriate; similarly, studies that meet all of the conditions may be rejected, if appropriate. In sum, the reviewer is to take into account the totality of factors related to the test methodology and results in determining the acceptability of the study.

Digitally signed by Elizabeth Donovan DN: cn=Elizabeth Donovan, o=EPA, ou=EFED,

email=donovan.elizabeth@epa.

PMRA Submission Number {.....}

EPA MRID Number 48718011

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY:

The 34-day chronic toxicity of dicamba acid to the early life-stage of sheepshead minnow (*Cyprinodon variegatus*) was studied under flow-through conditions. Fertilized sheepshead minnow embryos (80/level, <27 hours old) were exposed at nominal concentrations of 0 (negative and solvent controls), 0.31, 0.77, 1.9, 4.8, and 12 mg ai/L. Mean-measured concentrations were <0.100 (<LOQ, controls), 0.28, 0.72, 1.8, 4.5, and 11 mg ai/L, respectively. The test system was maintained at 23.6 to 25.8°C and a pH of 7.7 to 8.0. There were no treatment-related effects observed upon time to hatch, hatching success, post-hatch larval survival, or clinical signs of toxicity. Significant reductions relative to the negative control (p<0.05) were detected for length at the 0.28, 0.72, 4.5, and 11 mg ai/L levels; these inhibitions were consistent, ranging from 2-5% of negative control lengths over the concentration range which was approximately one magnitude order. Significant reductions relative to the negative control were also detected for wet and dry weight at the 0.28 and 4.5 mg ai/L levels; the magnitude of these reductions was greater (i.e., 9-13% lower than negative control weights) than that for length. The dose response for these endpoints, especially for length, was particularly flat over an order of magnitude in dosing. While slight reductions from negative control were observed, they do not appear to be dose-dependent.

This study is classified as scientifically sound and does satisfy guideline requirements for an early life stage toxicity study with fish.

Results Synopsis

Test Organism Size/Age (mean Weight or Length): Embryos, <27 hours old Test Type (Flow-through, Static, Static Renewal): Flow-through

NOAEC: 11mg ai/L LOAEC: > 11 mg ai/L

Endpoint(s) affected: none

PMRA Submission Number {.....}

EPA MRID Number 48718011

I. MATERIALS AND METHODS

GUIDELINE(S) FOLLOWED: This study was conducted following guidelines outlined in the U.S. EPA

Ecological Effects Test Guideline 850.1400: Fish Early-Life Stage Toxicity Test (draft, 1996) and ASTM Standard E1241-05, Standard Guide for

Conducting Early Life-Stage Toxicity Tests with Fish (2005).

The following deviations from OCSPP 850.1400 guidance were observed:

• The embryos (<27 hours old) were slightly older than recommended (2 to 24 hours old).

This deviation does not affect the scientific soundness or acceptability of this study.

COMPLIANCE: Signed and dated GLP, Quality Assurance, and Data Confidentiality

statements were provided. This study was conducted in accordance with GLP Standards as published by the U.S. EPA (40 CFR Parts 160 and 792), OECD Principles of GLP [ENV/MC/CHEM(98)17], and Japan MAFF (11 NohSan, Notification No. 6283, 1999), with the following exception: periodic analysis of salt water for potential contaminants. It was reported that the periodic analyses were performed using a certified laboratory and

standard U.S. EPA analytical methods.

A. MATERIALS:

1. Test Material Dicamba acid

Description: Solid

Lot No./Batch No.: 0002B01BA-251

Purity: 93.9%

Stability of compound

under test conditions: Stable, as determined from weekly analyses of test solutions at all treatment

levels.

Storage conditions of

Test chemicals: Under ambient conditions

Physicochemical properties of dicamba acid.

Parameter	Values	Comments
Water solubility at 20°C	Not reported	
Vapor pressure	Not reported	
UV absorption	Not reported	
pKa	Not reported	
Kow	Not reported	

(OECD recommends water solubility, stability in water and light, pKa, Pow, and vapor pressure of test compound)

Page 3 of 29

PMRA Submission Number {.....}

EPA MRID Number 48718011

2. Test organism:

Species: Sheepshead minnow (Cyprinodon variegatus)

[EPA recommends any of several freshwater fish species, including rainbow trout, brook trout, bluegill, fathead minnow, and channel catfish. See Standard Evaluation Procedure for listing of recommended species. OECD recommends rainbow trout, fathead minnows, zebra fish, and ricefish but does not

exclude the use of other species.]

Age /embryonic stage at test initiation: Embryos, <27 hours post-fertilization.

[EPA recommends fish embryos 2 to 24 hours old.]

Method of collection of the fertilized eggs: Eggs were purchased and arrived free-floating. They

were examined under a dissecting microscope to select healthy viable specimens at approximately the same stage

of development.

Source: Aquatic BioSystems, Inc., Fort Collins, CO

B. STUDY DESIGN:

1. Experimental Conditions

a. Range-finding study: The concentrations were selected in consultation with the Sponsor and were based upon the results of preliminary exploratory range-finding toxicity data. A 19-day post-hatch preliminary study was conducted with 50 embryos per level (divided equally into two replicates) at nominal concentrations of 0 (negative control), 0 (0.1 mL DMF/L control), 0.081, 0.27, 0.90, 3.0, and 10 mg ai/L. No treatment-related effects were observed upon hatching success, post-hatch survival (assessed on Day 19), or wet weight at any exposure level (data provided).

b. Definitive study

PMRA Submission Number {......}

EPA MRID Number 48718011

Table 1: Experimental Parameters

Parameter	Details	Remarks	
rarameter	Details	Criteria	
Parental acclimation, if any Period:	N/A	Embryos used in the test were collected from at least 10 spawns from 3 male and 8 female adults.	
Conditions (same as test or not):			
Feeding (type, source, amount given, frequency):			
Health (any mortality observed):			
Number of fertilized eggs/embryos in	80 embryos per treatment level,	Alevins were not thinned.	
each treatment at test initiation:	divided into 20 embryos per cup, one cup per replicate, and four replicate aquaria per level.	Each treatment should include a minimum of 20 embryos per replicate cup and a minimum of 30 fish per treatment for post-hatch exposure (OECD recommends at least 60 eggs, divided between at least 2 replicates)	
Concentration of test material Nominal: Mean measured:	0 (negative and solvent controls), 0.31, 0.77, 1.9, 4.8, and 12 mg ai/L <0.100 (<loq, 0.28,="" 0.72,="" 1.8,="" 11="" 4.5,="" ai="" and="" controls),="" l<="" mg="" td=""><td>Nominal concentrations were spaced by a factor of 2.5. For concentration verification, samples were collected from alternating replicate chambers on Days 0, 7, 14, 21, 28, and 34. Minimal analytical variation was observed for all levels, with recoveries ranging from 87.6 to 104% of nominal concentrations. A minimum of 5 concentrations and a control, all replicated, plus solvent control if appropriate should be used. Toxicant concentration should be measured in one tank at each toxicant level every week. One concentration should adversely affect a life stage and one concentration should not affect any life stage. OECD recommends that 5 concentrations be spaced by a constant factor not exceeding 3.2; concentrations of test substance in solution should be</td></loq,>	Nominal concentrations were spaced by a factor of 2.5. For concentration verification, samples were collected from alternating replicate chambers on Days 0, 7, 14, 21, 28, and 34. Minimal analytical variation was observed for all levels, with recoveries ranging from 87.6 to 104% of nominal concentrations. A minimum of 5 concentrations and a control, all replicated, plus solvent control if appropriate should be used. Toxicant concentration should be measured in one tank at each toxicant level every week. One concentration should adversely affect a life stage and one concentration should not affect any life stage. OECD recommends that 5 concentrations be spaced by a constant factor not exceeding 3.2; concentrations of test substance in solution should be	

PMRA Submission Number {......}

Parameter	Details	Remarks <i>Criteria</i>
Solvent (type, percentage, if used):	Dimethyl formamide (DMF) 0.02 mL/L	The solvent should not exceed 0.1 ml/L in a flow-through system. Recommended solvents include dimethylformamide, triethylene glycol, methanol, acetone, and ethanol. OECD recommends that the solvent not have an effect on survival nor produce any other adverse effects; concentration should not be greater than 0.1 ml/L.
Number of replicates Control: Solvent control: Treated ones:	4 4 4/level	Number of replicates should be 4 per concentration. A solvent control should be used in conjunction with a solubilizing agent.

PMRA Submission Number {......}

Parameter	Details	Remarks
r ar ameter	Details	Criteria
Test condition Static renewal/flow-through: Type of dilution system for flow through method: Flow rate:	Flow-through Continuous-flow diluter ca. 10 volume exchanges per day	The syringe pumps and rotameters were calibrated prior to the test and the rotameters were verified weekly thereafter. The proportion of water that was split into each replicate chamber was also checked prior to the test and weekly during the test to ensure that flow rates varied by no more than ±10% of the mean flow rate. The general operation of the diluter was visually
Renewal rate for static renewal:	N/A	checked generally twice daily. Intermittent flow proportional diluters or continuous flow serial diluters should be used. EPA recommends that flow rate to larval cups should provide 90% replacement in 8 to 12 hours (OECD recommends 5 test chamber volumes/24 hours). For static-renewal, OECD recommends 2 renewal procedures; either transfer eggs and larvae to new, clean vessels or reatain organisms in vessels and change at least 2/3 test water. A minimum of 5 toxicant concentrations with a dilution factor not greater than 0.5 and controls should be used. Toxicant Mixing: 1) Mixing chamber is preferred; 2) Aeration should not be used for mixing; 3) The test solution should be completely mixed before introduction into the test system; 4) Flow splitting accuracy should be within 10%.
Aeration, if any:	None reported	Dilution water should be aerated to ensure DO concentration at or near 100% saturation. Test tanks and embryo cups should not be aerated.
Duration of the test:	34 days: 6-day hatching period and 28-day post-hatch period	Acceptable for this species under OCSPP guidance.
		Recommended test duration is 32 days for EPA. OECD recommendations for test duration are species specific and range from 28-60 days.

PMRA Submission Number {......}

Parameter	Details	Remarks Criteria
Embryo cups, if used Type/material (glass/stainless steel):	Glass cylinders with 425 µm nylon screen mesh bottoms	One embryo cup was suspended in each replicate vessel and was oscillated at 2 rpm.
Size:	attached with silicone adhesive 50 mm diameter	Recommended embryo cups are 120 ml glass jars with bottoms replaced with 40 mesh stainless steel or nylon screen.
Fill volume:	Not reported	
Test vessel Type/material: (glass/stainless steel) Size:	Glass 9 L	Recommended test vessel is all glass or glass with stainless steel frame.
Fill volume:	7 L (ca. 15.8 cm depth)	
ource of dilution water Natural sea water was collected at Indian River Inlet, DE and sand-filtered to remove particles >25 µm. The filtered water was		Results of periodic analysis for pesticides, organics, and metals were provided from water collected on 12/29/10.
	diluted to a salinity of approximately 20% with fresh water from an on-site well, and then aerated. Prior to delivery to the diluter system, the dilution water was filtered to 0.45 μm and UV-sterilized.	Source of dilution water should be natural or reconstituted water; natural water should be sterilized with UV and tested for pesticides, heavy metals, and other possible contaminants. OECD accepts any water in which the test species show control survival at least as good as presented in SEP.
	During the 4-week period immediately preceding the study, the salinity of the dilution water was 20% and the pH ranged from 8.0-8.1 (n=4).	

PMRA Submission Number {......}

Parameter	Details	Remarks	
1 at attices	Details	Criteria	
Water parameters		Light intensity, measured at test	
Hardness:	Not determined	initiation over the water surface of one representative chamber, was 789 lux.	
pH:	7.7 to 8.0		
Dissolved oxygen: Temperature(s) (record all the	≥65% saturation (≥4.8 mg/L)	Recommended hardness: 40-48 mg/L as CaCO ₃ ; Recommended pH: 7.2 to 7.6	
temperatures used for different life	Weekly: 23.6 to 25.8°C	Dissolved Oxygen (DO) should be	
stages):	Continuous: 24 to 26°C	measured at each concentration at least once a week;	
Photoperiod:	16 hours light/8 hours dark, with 30-minute transition periods	Freshwater parameters in a control and one concentration should be analyzed once a week.	
Salinity (for marine or estuarine species): Other measurements:	20% ₀ N/A	Temperature depends upon test species and should not deviate by more than 2°C from appropriate temperature.	
Interval of water quality measurements:	Temperature was measured in each chamber at least weekly and in one negative control replicate continuously. DO and pH were measured in alternating replicates from all levels at least weekly. Salinity was measured in one alternating replicate of the negative control and highest concentration level at least weekly.	OECD recommends that DO concentration be between 60 - 90% saturation. As a minimum DO, salinity (if relevant) and temperature should be measured weekly, and pH and hardness at the beginning and end of the test. Temperature should be measured continuously.	
Post-hatch details When the post-hatch period began:	Day 6	OCSPP specifies a control hatching success criterion of >75% and a post-hatch survival of 80%. Both criteria were satisfied.	
Number of hatched eggs (alevins)/ treatment released to the test chamber:	All surviving alevins		
On what day, the alevins were released from the incubation cups to the test chamber:	Day 6	Any unhatched embryos were kept in the egg cup until they hatched and were released into the test chamber (or until death of the embryo occurred).	
		Percentage of embryos that produce live fry should be $\geq 50\%$ in each control; percentage of hatch in any control embryo cup should not be more than 1.6 times that in another control cup.	

PMRA Submission Number {......}

D	D. (. 7)	Remarks
Parameter	Details	Criteria
Post-hatch Feeding Start date:	Day 6	The fish were not fed for at least 48 hours prior to test termination.
Type/source of feed:	Live brine shrimp (<i>Artemia sp.</i>) nauplii (Brine Shrimp Direct, Ogden, UT)	
Amount given:	Not reported; however it was noted that rations were adjusted each week to account for losses due to mortality	
Frequency of feeding:	Two to three times per day	
Recovery of chemical:	87.6 to 104%	Based on test sample results.
Frequency of measurement:	At least once weekly	
LOD: LOQ:	0.000119 mg ai/L 0.100 mg ai/L	
Positive control {if used, indicate the chemical and concentrations} :	N/A	
Fertilization success study, if any Number of eggs used:	N/A	
On what day the eggs were removed to check the embryonic development:		
Other parameters, if any	Biomass loading at the end of the test was 0.027 g fish/L/day. Instantaneous loading was 0.27 g fish/L at any given time.	Fulfills OCSPP criteria.

PMRA Submission Number {.....}

EPA MRID Number 48718011

2. Observations:

Table 2: Observations

Parameters	Details	Remarks
T an america	L'OCCUPATION OF THE PARTY OF TH	Criteria
Parameters measured including the sub- lethal effects/toxicity symptoms:	- Time to hatch - Hatching success - Larval survival - Measurement of growth (length, wet and dry weights) - Behavioral and morphological observations	Recommended parameters measured include: - Number of embryos hatched; - Time to hatch; - Mortality of embryos, larvae, and Juveniles: - Time to swim-up (if appropriate); - Measurement of growth; - Incidence of pathological or Histological effects; - Observations of other effects or clinical signs.
Observation intervals/dates for:		
Egg mortality: No. of eggs hatched: Mortality of fry (e.g.,alevins): Swim-up behavior: Growth measurements: Embryonic development: Other sub-lethal effects	Daily Daily Daily Daily Day 34 Not determined Daily	
Water quality was acceptable (Yes/No)	Yes	
Were raw data included?	Yes	
Other observations, if any	N/A	

II. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A. MORTALITY:

Mean hatching success was 93 to 98% for all control and treatment levels, with no statistically-significant differences indicated. Similarly, post-hatch survival ranged from 93 to 100% for all levels. Thus, the NOAEC and LOAEC for both survival endpoints were 11 and >11 mg ai/L, respectively, based upon mean-measured concentrations.

PMRA Submission Number {.....}

EPA MRID Number 48718011

Table 3: Effect of BAS 183 H (Dicamba acid) on egg hatching and survival at different life stage of fish. (a)

Treatment	Egg hatch	Egg hatched/embryo viability Time to hatch, Cumulative No. hatched (b)						
(mg ai/L) Mean-measured (nominal)	No. of eggs at study	Hatch/e viabi						Percent survival on Day 34
concentrations	initiation	No.	%	Day 4	Day 5	Day 7		
Negative Control	80	76	95	1	12	76	93	
Solvent Control	80	77	96	1	7	77	99	
Pooled Controls	160	153	96				96	
0.28 (0.31)	80	77	96	1	1	76	100	
0.72 (0.77)	80	77	96	0	7	76	100	
1.8 (1.9)	80	78	98	0	1	77	97	
4.5 (4.8)	80	74	93	0	1	64	95	
11 (12)	80	78	98	0	5	75	97	
NOAEC, mg ai/L		11 mg ai/L		11 mg ai/L		denomination de la constitución de	11 mg ai/L	
LOAEC, mg ai/L		>11 mg ai/L		>11 mg ai/I			>11 mg ai/L	
Positive control	N/A							

⁽a) Data were obtained from Table 5 on page 29 of the study report.

B. SUB-LETHAL TOXICITY AND OTHER CHRONIC EFFECTS:

<u>Time to hatch</u>: No treatment-related effect on the time to hatch was observed. Embryos began hatching on Days 4 and 5 of the test and all surviving embryos from all levels had hatched by Day 10, with the majority of embryos hatching on Day 6. The NOAEC and LOAEC for time to hatch were 11 and >11 mg ai/L, respectively, based upon mean-measured concentrations.

Clinical signs of toxicity: In general, the majority of fish from the control and all treatment levels appeared normal throughout the test, with occasional observations of small fish. However, these observations were observed in all groups. The NOAEC and LOAEC for clinical signs of toxicity were 11 and >11 mg ai/L, respectively, based upon mean-measured concentrations.

<u>Growth</u>: Total lengths, wet weights, and dry weights were determined for all surviving fish at study termination. For the negative control, solvent control, and mean-measured 0.28, 0.72, .8, 4.5, and 11 mg ai/L treatment levels, total lengths averaged 19.7, 19.6, 19.1, 19.3, 19.5, 18.7, and 19.3 mm, respectively; wet weights averaged 95.7, 95.6, 86.9, 92.1, 95.6, 86.5, and 97.1 mg, respectively; and dry weights averaged 22.3,

⁽b) Reviewer-summed from Appendix 9 on pages 53 & 54 of the study report.

PMRA Submission Number {.....}

EPA MRID Number 48718011

21.9, 19.9, 21.3, 21.4, 19.5, and 22.1 mg, respectively. Compared to the pooled control, differences were statistically-significant ($p \le 0.05$) at the 0.28, 0.72, 4.5, and 11 mg ai/L levels for total length, and at the 0.28 and 4.5 mg ai/L levels for wet and dry weights. In all instances, the differences were slight (<10%), did not follow a dose-response pattern, and were not considered by the study author to be a result of exposure. The NOAEC and LOAEC values for all growth endpoints were 11 and >11 mg ai/L, respectively, based upon mean-measured concentrations.

Table 4: Effect of BAS 183 H (Dicamba acid) on growth of juvenile fish. (a)

Treatment (mg ai/L) Mean-measured (nominal) concentrations	Growth – total length (mm ± SD)	Growth - wet weight (mg ± SD)	Growth - dry weight (mg ± SD)
Negative Control	19.7 ± 0.22	95.7 ± 5.4	22.3 ± 1.1
Solvent Control	19.6 ± 0.096	95.6 ± 1.5	21.9 ± 0.34
Pooled Controls	19.7 ± 0.18	95.6 ± 3.7	22.1 ± 0.77
0.28 (0.31)	19.1 ± 0.26*	86.9 ± 2.6*	19.9 ± 0.83*
0.72 (0.77)	19.3 ± 0.14*	92.1 ± 3.9	21.3 ± 0.85
1.8 (1.9)	19.5 ± 0.13	95.6 ± 4.1	21.4 ± 0.80
4.5 (4.8)	18.7 ± 0.24*	86.5 ± 5.4*	19.5 ± 1.2*
11 (12)	19.3 ± 0.22*	97.1 ± 5.2	22.1 ± 0.86
NOAEC, mg ai/L	11	11	11
LOAEC, mg ai/L	>11	>11	>11
Positive control	N/A		

⁽a) Data were obtained from Table 5 on page 29 of the study report.

C. REPORTED STATISTICS:

<u>Statistical Method</u>: Data that were statistically analyzed included hatching success, post-hatch larval survival, and the mean total length, wet weight, and dry weight of surviving fish at study termination. The time to hatch was visually evaluated.

Data obtained for each control group were statistically-compared using a t-test. No statistical differences ($p\le0.05$) were observed between the two control groups for any parameter, and thus control data were pooled for subsequent comparisons.

Hatching success and larval survival data (discrete-variable data) were analyzed using Chi-square and Fisher's Exact test to identify treatment groups that showed a statistically significant difference from the pooled control (p<0.05). Growth data (continuous-variable data) were checked for normality using Shapiro-Wilk's test and for

^{*} Significantly different from pooled controls at p≤0.05

PMRA Submission Number {.....}

EPA MRID Number 48718011

homogeneity of variance using Levene's test (p<0.01). The data passed these assumptions, and were subsequently analyzed using analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by Dunnett's test to identify treatments that were significantly different from the pooled control (p<0.05).

The LOAEC, NOAEC, and MATC were reported based upon significance of the data; however, scientific judgment was used to determine if statistical differences were biologically meaningful. All statistical tests were performed using mean-measured concentrations and SAS statistical software.

NOAEC: 11 mg ai/L LOAEC: >11 mg ai/L

Endpoint(s) affected: none

D. VERIFICATION OF STATISTICAL RESULTS:

Statistical Method(s): The reviewer statistically analyzed the endpoints for hatching success, larval survival, length, wet weight, and dry weight using Toxstat 3.5 statistical software. All analyses were performed using replicate data and mean measured concentrations. The means of the negative and solvent controls were statistically compared for each parameter using t-tests. There were no significant differences between the two control groups and all further analyses were performed by comparing the treatment groups to the negative control only. The data were confirmed to be normally distributed and have homogeneous variances using Shapiro-Wilk's and Levene's tests, respectively, and were therefore analyzed using ANOVA followed by Dunnett's tests. Significant reductions relative to the negative control (p<0.05) were detected for length at the 0.28, 0.72, 4.5, and 11 mg ai/L levels; these inhibitions were consistent, ranging from 2-5% of negative control lengths over the concentration range which was approximately one magnitude order. Significant reductions relative to the negative control were also detected for wet and dry weight at the 0.28 and 4.5 mg ai/L levels; the magnitude of these reductions was greater (i.e., 9-13% lower than negative control weights) than that for length. The time to hatch and clinical signs of toxicity data were visually assessed.

E. STUDY DEFICIENCIES:

There were no deviations and/or deficiencies from OCSPP guidance affecting the scientific soundness or acceptability of this study.

F. REVIEWER'S COMMENTS:

The reviewer's statistical conclusions were <u>not</u> in agreement with those of the study author. Significant reductions relative to the negative control (p<0.05) were detected for length at the 0.28, 0.72, 4.5, and 11 mg ai/L levels; these inhibitions were consistent, ranging from 2-5% of negative control lengths over the concentration range which was approximately one magnitude order. Significant reductions relative to the negative control were also detected for wet and dry weight at the 0.28 and 4.5 mg ai/L levels; the magnitude of these reductions was greater (i.e., 9-13% lower than negative control weights) than that for length. The dose response for these endpoints, especially for length, was particularly flat over an order of magnitude in dosing. While slight reductions from negative control were observed, they do not appear to be dose-dependent. Based on this information, the reviewer's conclusions are the same as the study authors. The results were provided in terms of mean-measured concentrations. The reviewer calculated % inhibition values for the growth parameters for each treatment level relative to the negative control (see Appendix II).

PMRA Submission Number {.....}

EPA MRID Number 48718011

All validity requirements were met. Specifically, the dissolved oxygen content was maintained at \geq 60% throughout the study; the water temperature did not differ by more than $\pm 1.5^{\circ}$ C between successive days at any time during the study and was maintained within the temperature range specified for this species; hatching success in the control was \geq 75% and post-hatch survival in the controls was \geq 80%; no notable solvent-related effects were detected during the study; and concentrations were satisfactorily maintained within \pm 20% of mean-measured concentrations (all levels).

The in-life phase of the definitive study was conducted from September 28 to November 1, 2010.

G. CONCLUSIONS:

This study is scientifically sound and thus acceptable. There were no treatment-related effects on time to hatch, hatching success, or post-hatch larval survival. While growth (length, wet weight, and dry weight) was significantly reduced (p<0.05; 3-11% lower than negative control values) at the 0.28 mg ai/L treatment level and reductions from negative control at higher test levels were evident, they were not dose-dependent.

NOAEC: 11mg ai/L LOAEC: > 11 mg ai/L

Endpoint(s) affected: none

PMRA Submission Number {.....}

EPA MRID Number 48718011

III. REFERENCES:

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. 1996. Series 850 – Ecological Effects Test Guidelines (*draft*), OPPTS Number 850.1400: *Fish Early Life-Stage Toxicity Test*.

American Society for Testing and Materials. 2005. ASTM Standard E1241-05. Standard Guide for Conducting Early Life-Stage Toxicity Tests with Fish.

The SAS System for Windows. 2001. Version 8.2. SAS Institute Inc., Cary, North Carolina.

PMRA Submission Number {.....}

EPA MRID Number 48718011

APPENDIX I: OUTPUT OF REVIEWER'S STATISTICAL VERIFICATION:

Hatching success

Title: Dicamba sheepshead ELS hatching success

File: 8011hs Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION

t-Test of Solvent and Blank Controls Ho: GRP1 Mean = GRP2 Mean

GRP1 (Blank cntl) Mean = 0.9500 Calculated t value = -0.3974 GRP2 (Solvent cntl) Mean = 0.9625 Degrees of freedom = 6

Difference in means = -0.0125

2-sided t value (0.05, 6) = 2.4469 No significant difference at alpha=0.05

2-sided t value (0.01, 6) = 3.7074 No significant difference at alpha=0.01

WARNING: This procedure assumes normality and equal variances!

Title: Dicamba sheepshead ELS hatching success

File: 8011hs Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION

Shapiro - Wilk's Test for Normality

D = 0.0238W = 0.9478

Critical W = 0.8840 (alpha = 0.01 , N = 24) W = 0.9160 (alpha = 0.05 , N = 24)

Data PASS normality test (alpha = 0.01). Continue analysis.

Title: Dicamba sheepshead ELS hatching success

File: 8011hs Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION

Levene's Test for Homogeneity of Variance

ANOVA Table

 SOURCE
 DF
 SS
 MS
 F

 Between
 5
 0.0012
 0.0002
 0.5143

 Within (Error)
 18
 0.0088
 0.0005

 Total
 23
 0.0100

(p-value = 0.7620)

PMRA Submission Number {.....}

EPA MRID Number 48718011

Critical F = 4.2479 (alpha = 0.01, df = 5.18) = 2.7729 (alpha = 0.05, df = 5.18)

Since F < Critical F FAIL TO REJECT Ho: All equal (alpha = 0.01)

Title: Dicamba sheepshead ELS hatching success

File: 8011hs Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION

ANOVA Table

SOURCE DF SS MS F

 Between
 5
 0.0025
 0.0005
 0.3789

 Within (Error)
 18
 0.0237
 0.0013

 Total
 23
 0.0262

(p-value = 0.8566)

Critical F = 4.2479 (alpha = 0.01, df = 5,18) = 2.7729 (alpha = 0.05, df = 5,18)

Since F < Critical F FAIL TO REJECT Ho: All equal (alpha = 0.05)

Title: Dicamba sheepshead ELS hatching success

File: 8011hs Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION

Dunnett's Test - TABLE 1 OF 2 Ho:Control<Treatment ______ TRANSFORMED MEAN CALCULATED IN SIG GROUP IDENTIFICATION MEAN ORIGINAL UNITS T STAT 0.05 neg control 0.9500 0.28 0.9625 0.72 0.9625 1.8 0.9750 4.5 0.9500 11 0.9750 0.9500 0.9625 -0.4867 0.9625 -0.4867 3 4 -0.9733 0.9750 0.9500 0.0000 0.9750 -0.9733 5

Dunnett critical value = 2.4100 (1 Tailed, alpha = 0.05, df = 5,18)

Title: Dicamba sheepshead ELS hatching success

File: 8011hs Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION

Dunnett's Test - TABLE 2 OF 2 Ho:Control<Treatment

NUM OF MIN SIG DIFF % OF DIFFERENCE
GROUP IDENTIFICATION REPS (IN ORIG. UNITS) CONTROL FROM CONTROL

1 neg control 4

Page 18 of 29

MRA Submission Nu	umber {}			EPA MRID N	<u>umber 48718011</u>
2	0.28	4	0.0619	6.5	-0.0125
3	0.72	4	0.0619	6.5	-0.0125
4	1.8	4	0.0619	6.5	-0.0250
5	4.5	4	0.0619	6.5	0.0000
6	11	4	0.0619	6.5	-0.0250

Larval survival

Title: Dicamba sheepshead ELS larval survival (end of test) Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION 80111s

t-Test of Solvent and Blank Controls Ho: GRP1 Mean = GRP2 Mean

GRP1 (Blank cntl) Mean = 0.9325 Calculated t value = -1.5378 GRP2 (Solvent cntl) Mean = 0.9875 Degrees of freedom = 6 Difference in means = -0.0550

2-sided t value (0.05, 6) = 2.4469 No significant difference at alpha=0.05 2-sided t value (0.01, 6) = 3.7074 No significant difference at alpha=0.01

WARNING: This procedure assumes normality and equal variances!

Title: Dicamba sheepshead ELS larval survival (end of test) File: 80111s Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION

Shapiro - Wilk's Test for Normality

D = 0.0235W = 0.9177

Critical W = 0.8840 (alpha = 0.01 , N = 24) W = 0.9160 (alpha = 0.05, N = 24)

Data PASS normality test (alpha = 0.01). Continue analysis.

Title: Dicamba sheepshead ELS larval survival (end of test)

File: 80111s Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION

Levene's Test for Homogeneity of Variance

ANOVA Table

DF SS _____ Between 5 0.0057 0.0011 2.2726 Within (Error) 18 0.0089 0.0005 Page 19 of 29

PMRA Submission Number {.....}

EPA MRID Number 48718011

Total	23	0.0146	
			(p-value = 0.0910)

Critical F = 4.2479 (alpha = 0.01, df = 5,18) = 2.7729 (alpha = 0.05, df = 5,18)

Since F < Critical F FAIL TO REJECT Ho: All equal (alpha = 0.01)

Title: Dicamba sheepshead ELS larval survival (end of test)

File: 80111s Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION

ANOVA Table

SOURCE	DF	SS	MS	F
Between	5	0.0150	0.0030	2.2904
Within (Error)	18	0.0235	0.0013	
Total	23	0.0385		
			(p-value	= 0.0891)

Critical F = 4.2479 (alpha = 0.01, df = 5,18) = 2.7729 (alpha = 0.05, df = 5,18)

Since F < Critical F FAIL TO REJECT Ho: All equal (alpha = 0.05)

Title: Dicamba sheepshead ELS larval survival (end of test)

File: 80111s Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION

	Dunnett's Test -	TABLE 1 OF 2	Ho:Control<	[reatment	
GROUP	IDENTIFICATION	TRANSFORMED MEAN	MEAN CALCULATED IN ORIGINAL UNITS	T STAT	SIG
1 2 3 4 5	neg control 0.28 0.72 1.8 4.5	0.9325 1.0000 1.0000 0.9750 0.9475 0.9750	0.9325 1.0000 1.0000 0.9750 0.9475 0.9750	-2.6391 -2.6391 -1.6617 -0.5865 -1.6617	

Dunnett critical value = 2.4100 (1 Tailed, alpha = 0.05, df = 5,18)

Title: Dicamba sheepshead ELS larval survival (end of test)

Page 20 of 29

PMRA Submission Number {.....} EPA MRID Number 48718011 File: 8011ls Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION Dunnett's Test - TABLE 2 OF 2 Ho:Control<Treatment NUM OF MIN SIG DIFF % OF DIFFERENCE GROUP IDENTIFICATION REPS (IN ORIG. UNITS) CONTROL FROM CONTROL neg control 4
0.28 4 0.0616 6.6 -0.0675
0.72 4 0.0616 6.6 -0.0675
1.8 4 0.0616 6.6 -0.0425
4.5 4 0.0616 6.6 -0.0150
11 4 0.0616 6.6 -0.0425 ______ 3 Length Title: Dicamba sheepshead ELS length (mm) File: 80111 Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION t-Test of Solvent and Blank Controls Ho: GRP1 Mean = GRP2 Mean GRP1 (Blank cntl) Mean = 19.7250 Calculated t value = 1.2421 GRP2 (Solvent cntl) Mean = 19.5750 Degrees of freedom = 6 Difference in means = 0.15002-sided t value (0.05, 6) = 2.4469 No significant difference at alpha=0.05 2-sided t value (0.01, 6) = 3.7074 No significant difference at alpha=0.01 WARNING: This procedure assumes normality and equal variances! Title: Dicamba sheepshead ELS length (mm) File: 80111 Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION Shapiro - Wilk's Test for Normality D = 0.7900 W = 0.9463Critical W = 0.8840 (alpha = 0.01 , N = 24) W = 0.9160 (alpha = 0.05 , N = 24) Data PASS normality test (alpha = 0.01). Continue analysis. Title: Dicamba sheepshead ELS length (mm) 80111 Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION File: Levene's Test for Homogeneity of Variance ANOVA Table

SS

Page 21 of 29

SOURCE

DF

MS

Data Evaluation Report on the Toxicity of Dicamba Acid to Sheepshead Minnow (Cyprinodon variegatus), Early Life Cycle PMRA Submission Number {......} EPA MRID Number

RA Submission Number {	}		EPA MRID N	lumber 4871	8011
Between	5	0.0600	0.0120	1.02	 86
Within (Error)	18	0.2100	0.0117		
Total	23	0.2700			
			(p-valu	e = 0.43	05)
Critical $F = 4$. $= 2$.		a = 0.01, df = 5 a = 0.05, df = 5			
Since F < Criti	cal F FAIL.	TO REJECT Ho:	All equal (alpha =	0.01)	
Pitle: Dicamba she Pile: 8	eepshead ELS	length (mm) Transform:	NO TR	ANSFORMA	TIC
		ANOVA Table			
	DF	SS		F	
Between	5	2.4483	0.4897		
Within (Error)		0.7900			
Total	23	3.2383			
			(p-valu	e = 0.00	01)
Critical F = 4 . = 2 .		a = 0.01, $df = 5a = 0.05$, $df = 5$			
	-				
Since F > Criti	.cal F REJE	CT Ho: All equa	` L		
Since F > Criti	cal F REJE.	CT Ho: All equa	,		
'itle: Dicamba she	eepshead ELS	length (mm)	-	ANSFODMA	m⊤ <i>c</i>
Title: Dicamba she Tile: 8	eepshead ELS	length (mm) Transform:	NO TR	ANSFORMA	
Title: Dicamba she Tile: 8 Dunnett's Tes GROUP IDENTIFICA	eepshead ELS 30111 st - TAB	length (mm) Transform: LE 1 OF 2 TRANSFORMED	-	reatment	
Title: Dicamba she Tile: 8 Dunnett's Tes GROUP IDENTIFICA	eepshead ELS 30111 st - TAB ATION control	length (mm) Transform: LE 1 OF 2 TRANSFORMED M MEAN	NO TR Ho:Control <t< td=""><td>reatment T STAT</td><td></td></t<>	reatment T STAT	
Title: Dicamba she Tile: 8 Dunnett's Tes GROUP IDENTIFICA 5 1 neg 2	eepshead ELS 30111 st - TAB ATION control 0.28	length (mm) Transform: LE 1 OF 2 TRANSFORMED MEAN 19.7250 19.0750	NO TR Ho:Control <t 19.0750<="" 19.7250="" calculated="" in="" mean="" original="" td="" units=""><td>T STAT</td><td> S] </td></t>	T STAT	 S]
Title: Dicamba she Tile: 8 Dunnett's Tes GROUP IDENTIFICA 1 neg	eepshead ELS 30111 st - TAB ATION control 0.28 0.72 1.8	length (mm) Transform: LE 1 OF 2 TRANSFORMED M MEAN	NO TR Ho:Control <t 19.7250<="" calculated="" hean="" in="" original="" td="" units=""><td>T STAT</td><td> SI </td></t>	T STAT	 SI

Page 22 of 29

PMRA Submission Number {.....}

Title: Dicamba sheepshead ELS length (mm)

EPA MRID Number 48718011

	Dunnett's Test -	TABLE 2 (DF 2 Ho	:Control<	Treatment
GROUP	IDENTIFICATION	REPS	MIN SIG DIFF (IN ORIG. UNITS)	CONTROL	
1	neg control				
2	0.28	4	0.3570	1.8	0.6500
3	0.72	4			0.4250
4		4		1.8	0.2500
5		4			1.0250
6	11	4	0.3570	1.8	0.4500
t-Test GRP1 (Bl GRP2 (So	of Solvent and Blank Controls ank cntl) Mean = 95.6750 (lvent cntl) Mean = 95.5500 in means = 0.1250	Ho: GRP	1 Mean = GRP2 Mean llue = 0.0443		
2-sided t	value $(0.05, 6) = 2.4469$ No sign value $(0.01, 6) = 3.7074$ No sign				

File: 80111 Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION

Shapiro - Wilk's Test for Normality

Data PASS normality test (alpha = 0.01). Continue analysis.

Critical W = 0.8840 (alpha = 0.01 , N = 24) W = 0.9160 (alpha = 0.05 , N = 24)

Title: Dicamba sheepshead ELS wet weight (mg) $$\operatorname{Page} 23 \ of 29$$

D = 372.4600W = 0.9591

File:	8011ww	Transform:	NO TRA	ANSFORMATION
	Levene's T	est for Homogeneity of	Variance	
		ANOVA Table		
SOURCE	DF	SS	MS	F
	5	24.1733	4.8347	0.7468
Within (Error	18	116.5300	6.4739	
Total	23	140.7033		
				= 0.5989)
		pha = 0.01, df = 5,18) pha = 0.05, df = 5,18)		
Since F < Cr	itical F FA	IL TO REJECT Ho: All	equal (alpha =	0.01)
Title: Dicamba File:	sheepshead E 8011ww	LS wet weight (mg) Transform:	NO TRA	ANSFORMATION
		ANOVA Table		
SOURCE	DF	SS		F
Between	5	431.1200		
Within (Error		372.4600		
		803.5800		
Total	23	003.3000		
Total			(p-value	e = 0.0109)
Critical F =	= 4.2479 (al	pha = 0.01, df = 5,18) pha = 0.05, df = 5,18)	(p-value	e = 0.0109)
Critical F =	= 4.2479 (al	pha = 0.01, df = 5,18)	-	e = 0.0109)
Critical F = Since F > Cr Title: Dicamba	= 4.2479 (al = 2.7729 (al ritical F RE	pha = 0.01, df = 5,18) pha = 0.05, df = 5,18)	lpha = 0.05)	e = 0.0109) ANSFORMATION
Critical F = Since F > Cr Title: Dicamba File:	= 4.2479 (algoritical F RE sheepshead E 8011ww	pha = 0.01, df = 5,18) pha = 0.05, df = 5,18) JECT Ho: All equal (a)	lpha = 0.05) NO TRA	ANSFORMATION
Critical F = Since F > Cr Title: Dicamba File:	= 4.2479 (algorithms) (algorith	pha = 0.01, df = 5,18) pha = 0.05, df = 5,18) JECT Ho: All equal (a) LS wet weight (mg) Transform:	lpha = 0.05) NO TRA Ho:Control <tra calculated="" in<="" td=""><td>ANSFORMATION reatment SIG</td></tra>	ANSFORMATION reatment SIG
Critical F = Since F > Cr Title: Dicamba File: Dunnett's GROUP IDENTIF	= 4.2479 (algoritical F RE sheepshead E 8011ww Test - Test	pha = 0.01, df = 5,18) pha = 0.05, df = 5,18) JECT Ho: All equal (all LS wet weight (mg)	lpha = 0.05) NO TRA Ho:Control <tra calculated="" in<="" td=""><td>ANSFORMATION reatment SIG T STAT</td></tra>	ANSFORMATION reatment SIG T STAT

RA Submission N	Sumber {}	EPA MRID Number 4871801			
3	0.72	92.1250	92.1250	1.1037	
4	1.8	95.5750	95.5750	0.0311	
5	4.5	86.5000	86.5000	2.8524 *	
6	11	97.0500	97.0500	-0.4275	

Dunnett critical value = 2.4100 (1 Tailed, alpha = 0.05, df = 5,18)

Title: Dicamba sheepshead ELS wet weight (mg)
File: 8011ww Transform:

NO TRANSFORMATION

	Dunnett's Test -	TABLE 2	OF 2 Ho	:Control<	Treatment
GROUP	IDENTIFICATION	NUM OF REPS	MIN SIG DIFF (IN ORIG. UNITS)	% OF CONTROL	DIFFERENCE FROM CONTROL
1	neg control	4			
2	0.28	4	7.7519	8.1	8.8000
3	0.72	4	7.7519	8.1	3.5500
4	1.8	4	7.7519	8.1	0.1000
5	4.5	4	7.7519	8.1	9.1750
6	11	4	7.7519	8.1	-1.3750

Dry weight

Title: Dicamba sheepshead ELS dry weight (mg)

File: 8011dw Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION

t-Test of Solvent and Blank Controls Ho: GRP1 Mean = GRP2 Mean

CDR1 (Plank anti) Mann = 22 2000 Calculated trialing = 0.7127

GRP1 (Blank cntl) Mean = 22.3000 Calculated t value = 0.7127 GRP2 (Solvent cntl) Mean = 21.9000 Degrees of freedom = 6 Difference in means = 0.4000

2-sided t value (0.05, 6) = 2.4469 No significant difference at alpha=0.05 2-sided t value (0.01, 6) = 3.7074 No significant difference at alpha=0.01

WARNING: This procedure assumes normality and equal variances!

Title: Dicamba sheepshead ELS dry weight (mg)
File: 8011dw Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION

Shapiro - Wilk's Test for Normality

Page 25 of 29

PMRA Submission Number {.....}

EPA MRID Number 48718011

Data PASS normality test (alpha = 0.01). Continue analysis.

Title: Dicamba sheepshead ELS dry weight (mg)

8011dw Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION File:

Levene's Test for Homogeneity of Variance

ANOVA Table _____

SOURCE	DF	SS	MS	F
Between	5	0.6221	0.1244	0.3850
Within (Error)	18	5.8175	0.3232	
Total	23	6.4396		

(p-value = 0.8526)

Critical F = 4.2479 (alpha = 0.01, df = 5,18) = 2.7729 (alpha = 0.05, df = 5,18)

Since F < Critical F FAIL TO REJECT Ho: All equal (alpha = 0.01)

Title: Dicamba sheepshead ELS dry weight (mg)

File: 8011dw Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION

ANOVA Table _____

SOURCE	DF	SS	MS	F
Between	5	25.8288	5.1658	5.7406
Within (Error)	18	16.1975	0.8999	
Total	23	42.0263		

(p-value = 0.0024)

Critical F = 4.2479 (alpha = 0.01, df = 5,18) = 2.7729 (alpha = 0.05, df = 5,18)

Since F > Critical F REJECT Ho: All equal (alpha = 0.05)

Title: Dicamba sheepshead ELS dry weight (mg)

Online: Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION

Page 26 of 29

PMRA Submission Number {.....}

EPA MRID Number 48718011

	Dunnett's Test -	TABLE 1 OF 2	Ho:Control <t< th=""><th>reatment</th><th></th></t<>	reatment	
GROUP	IDENTIFICATION	TRANSFORMED MEAN	MEAN CALCULATED IN ORIGINAL UNITS	T STAT	SIG
1	neg control	22.3000	22.3000		
2	0.28	19.9250	19.9250	3.5407	*
3	0.72	21.2750	21.2750	1.5281	
4	1.8	21.3750	21.3750	1.3790	
5	4.5	19.5250	19.5250	4.1370	*
6	11	22.1250	22.1250	0.2609	

Dunnett critical value = 2.4100 (1 Tailed, alpha = 0.05, df = 5,18)

Title: Dicamba sheepshead ELS dry weight (mg)
File: 8011dw Transform:

NO TRANSFORMATION

	Dunnett's Test -	TABLE 2	OF 2 Hc	:Control<	Treatment
GROUP	IDENTIFICATION	NUM OF REPS	MIN SIG DIFF (IN ORIG. UNITS)	% OF CONTROL	DIFFERENCE FROM CONTROL
1	neg control	4			
2	0.28	4	1.6166	7.2	2.3750
3	0.72	4	1.6166	7.2	1.0250
4	1.8	4	1.6166	7.2	0.9250
5	4.5	4	1.6166	7.2	2.7750
6	11	4	1.6166	7.2	0.1750

Data Evaluation Report on the Toxicity of Dicamba Acid to Sheepshead Minnow (*Cyprinodon variegatus*), Early Life Cycle PMRA Submission Number {......} EPA MRID Number 48718011

$\frac{\text{APPENDIX II. PERCENT INHIBITION OF GROWTH PARAMETERS RELATIVE TO THE NEGATIVE CONTROL CALCULATED IN MICROSOFT}{\text{EXCEL}}$

Concentration	Mean length	% inhibition	Mean wet weight	% inhibition	Mean dry weight	% inhibition
Control	19.7	0%	95.7	0%	22.3	0%
0.28	19.1	3%	86.9	9%	19.9	11%
0.72	19.3	2%	92.1	4%	21.3	4%
1.8	19.5	1%	95.6	0%	21.4	4%
4.5	18.7	5%	86.5	10%	19.5	13%
11	193	2%	97.1	-1%	22.1	1%

PMRA Submission Number {......}